



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,377 07/18/2003		Thomas J. Jentsch	59572(46865)	9926	
759	90 11/15/2004		EXAMINER		
Edwards & An		HAMA, JOANNE			
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 55874	perty Practice Group	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Boston, MA 0		1632			
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
			622,377 ´	JENTSCH, THOMAS J.				
Office Action Summary		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		Joan	nne Hama, Ph.D.	1632				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
A SH THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a reply be tii the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	mmunication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>18 July 20</u>	<u>03</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 26-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 26-41 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO ALC:	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o · No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

Art Unit: 1632

This Application, filed July 18, 2003, is a continuation of PCT/DK/02/00038, filed January 17, 2002. This Application claims benefit to German (DE) Patent Application 101 02 977.2 filed on January 23, 2001.

A preliminary Amendment was filed on July 18, 2003. Claims 1-25 have been cancelled and new claims (26-41) have been added.

Claims 26-41 are pending.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 26-30, drawn to a cell line which does not express or expresses to a reduced extent one or more chloride channels, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claim 31, drawn to the use of a genetically modified, non-human mammal, germ cells, and somatic cells which contain nucleic acids sequences which code for a protein consisting of chloride channels, classified in class 800, subclass 3.
- III. Claims 32-37, drawn to the use of a cell line for the identification and testing of substances suitable for inhibiting chloride channels, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- IV. Claims 38-40, drawn to a process for identifying and testing substances which are suitable for inhibiting one or more chloride channels, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1, or class 536, subclass 23.1.

Art Unit: 1632

V. Claim 41, drawn to the use of substances which completely or partly inhibit CIC-7, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1 or class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention I is to a cell line that has low to no expression of one or more chloride channels. Invention II is to the use of a genetically modified, non-human mammal, germ cells, and somatic cells which contain nucleic acids sequences which code for a protein consisting of chloride channels. Invention I does not depend on Invention II to function and vice versa.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention I is to a cell line that has low to no expression of one or more chloride channels. Invention III is to the use of a cell line for the identification and testing of substances suitable for inhibiting chloride channels. Invention I does not depend on Invention III to function and vice versa.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1632

806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention I is to a cell line that has low to no expression of one or more chloride channels. Invention IV is to a process for identifying and testing substances which are suitable for inhibiting one or more chloride channels. Invention I does not depend on Invention IV to function and vice versa.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention I is to a cell line that has low to no expression of one or more chloride channels. Invention V is the use of substances which completely or partly inhibit CIC-7. Invention I does not depend on Invention V to function and vice versa.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention II is to the use of a genetically modified, non-human mammal, germ cells, and somatic cells which contain nucleic acids sequences which code for a protein consisting of chloride channels. Invention III is to the use of a cell line for the identification and testing of substances suitable for inhibiting chloride channels. Invention II does not depend on Invention III to function and vice versa.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have

Art Unit: 1632

different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention II is to the use of a genetically modified, non-human mammal, germ cells, and somatic cells which contain nucleic acids sequences which code for a protein consisting of chloride channels. Invention IV is to a process for identifying and testing substances which are suitable for inhibiting one or more chloride channels. Invention II does not depend on Invention IV to function and vice versa.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention II is to the use of a genetically modified, non-human mammal, germ cells, and somatic cells which contain nucleic acids sequences which code for a protein consisting of chloride channels. Invention V is to the use of substances which completely or partly inhibit CIC-7. Invention II does not depend on Invention V to function and vice versa.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention III is to the use of a cell line for the identification and testing of substances suitable for inhibiting chloride channels. Invention IV is to a process for identifying and testing substances which are suitable for inhibiting one or more chloride channels. Invention III does not depend on Invention IV to function and vice versa.

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention III is to the use of a cell line for the identification and testing of substances suitable for inhibiting chloride channels. Invention V is to the use of substances which completely or partly inhibit CIC-7. Invention III does not depend on Invention V to function and vice versa.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention IV is to a process for identifying and testing substances which are suitable for inhibiting chloride channels. Invention V is to the use of substances which completely or partly inhibit CIC-7. Invention IV does not depend on Invention V to function and vice versa. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, different classification, and the search required for one Invention is not required for another Invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Hama, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-2911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1632

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH

Joe World